



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/662,367

09/16/2003

Michael Shackelford

1025-0002

9415

43536 7590 01/29/2009  
MUSKIN & CUSICK LLC  
30 Vine Street  
SUITE 6  
Lansdale, PA 19446

EXAMINER

LEIVA, FRANK M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3714

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/29/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/662,367	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHACKLEFORD, MICHAEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> FRANK M. LEIVA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FRANK M. LEIVA. (3) JONATHAN MUSKIN.

(2) DMITRY SUHOL. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: Showed a video poker triple hand poker game and the steps of the invention of double drawing on individual hands.

Claim(s) discussed: 62-69.

Identification of prior art discussed: Carrico and Moody.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached on the need to amend the claim 66 and 69 as to clarify the three tear multi-hand with individual multi-draws. Applicant will submit a supplemental amendment, and examiner will reconsider arguments and amendments after officially submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Dmitry Suhol/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714
--	--